



tl cf Kk ddv KERALA GAZETTE

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

വാല്യം 3 Vol. III	തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday	2014 നവംബർ 18 18th November 2014 1190 വൃശ്ചികം 2 2nd Vrischikam 1190 1936 കാർത്തികം 27 27th Karthika 1936	നമ്പർ No.	45
----------------------	---	--	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Skills Department

Labour and Skills (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1369/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th September 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri Juneesh, T. K., s/o Saithalavi, Southern FJ Group, Near Harisree Club, Manivayal P. O., Cottanad, Meppadi, Wayanad-673 577 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Georgekutty, M., Meppurathu Veedu, Athivayal, Cottanad P. O., Meppadi-673 577 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment by the management of Southern FJ Group, Manivayal P. O., Cottanad to Sri Georgekutty is justifiable? If not, what are the benefits for which Sri Georgekutty is entitled to?

(2)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1370/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th September 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Meben Nidhi Limited, V/421, Near H. S. Junction, Valappad P. O., Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, New Generation Banks and Insurance Employees Union (AITUC), Kasargod District Committee, M. N. Smarakam, Kanjangad P. O., Pin-671 315 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the recovery from the salaries of Sindhu, U. and Nirmala, P. by the employer (Meben Nidhi Limited) for the alleged loss happened to Employer establishment is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1416/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th October 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Vazhakulam Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. 2824, Vazhakulam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Babu, M. J., Munjanattu House, Madakkathanam P. O., Muvattupuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of Employment of Sri Babu, M. J. from Vazhakulam Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. 2824, Vazhakulam is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1417/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th October 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Dynamic Techno Medicals Private Limited, Post Box No. 45, Ashokapuram, Aluva-683 101 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri M. M. Thankappan, Muthirakkattuparambil Veedu, Nochima, N. A. D. P. O., Aluva in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Sri M. M. Thankappan from Dynamic Techno Medicals Private Limited, Aluva is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(5)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1418/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th October 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Hand Kook Latex Private Limited, Rubber Park, Irappuram-683 556 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Sainaba, M. B. w/o Muhammed, Mangalippara (Mukulath) Veedu, Irappuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Smt. Sainaba, M. B. from Hand Kook Latex Private Limited, Irappuram is justifiable or not? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1423/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th October 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Personal Manager, Indasil Hydro Power and Management Limited, Pallathery P. O., Palakkad-678 007, (2) the B. G. S. Security Services, St. Fort Road, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri S. Suresh, Mekhala Adhyakshan, Bharatheeya Mazdoor Sangham Mekhala Committee, Mazdoor Bhavan, BMS, Sathrappadi, Kanjikode, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the demands raised by the petitioner trade union are justifiable or not ? If yes, what are the benefits the workers are eligible to get?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1424/2014/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 13th October 2014.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, KRTI Life Science limited, Annanad, Chalakudy P. O., Thrissur 680 309 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri V. C. Madhavan, State Committee Member, Kerala Medical and Sales Representative Association (CITU), Faizal Building, Kunnummal, Kanhangad-671 315 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order.

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Abdul Sathar by the management of KRTI Life Science Limited, Thrissur is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,
Under Secretary to Government.